

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/535,831	03/28/2000	Yoram Ofek	SYN 1756	5043	
20787	7590 08/09/2004		EXAMINER		
SITRICK & SITRICK 8340 N LINCOLN AVENUE SUITE 201			LEVITAN, DMITRY		
SKOKIE, IL 60077		/ -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2662	12	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5'			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/535,831	OFEK ET AL.	
<u>-</u>	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dmitry Levitan	2662	
€-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or i	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
2. $igtiz$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	l amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: 21-52,54-67,82-86 and 89-91.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	1 1	
0. Other:		K	
	HASSAN SUPERVISORY PAT		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

- Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/535,831

Application No.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to the specification raises new issue concerning the inventorship under 102f.

Claiming priority to Dr. Ofek patent basically implies that Mr. Baldi is not the inventor of the claimed invention, since the invention is fully disclosed and anticipated by the patent to Dr. Ofek to which Mr. Baldi had no contribution.

In other words, Dr. Ofek is a sole inventor of the mentioned parent applicatio/patent including all claims. This continuation-in-part is fully anticipated by the parent application/patent and raise a question of the contribution of the second authorto the invention. Examiner believes that the inventorship problem is not resolved, because the outcome of the petition for correcting inventorship in US 6,272,131 is unknown.